

---

**ISMCT 2021**  
**PAPER GUIDELINES**

---

# ACCEPTED PAPER

## **Accepted**

- Novel original results or methods
- Experiment of particular subject
- Manuscripts that advance the knowledge and understanding in a certain scientific field

## **Not accepted**

- Out of scope seminar
- Inappropriate for the seminar readership
- Lack of originality, novelty, or significance
- Work out of date
- Repetitions of previously published work, results are predictable, without adding substantial knowledge
- Non repeatable data
- Incorrect/unacceptable analysis
- Datasets too small to be meaningful
- Plagiarism issue
- Copyright issue

# TYPE OF MANUSCRIPT

**01** Full articles/Original articles

**02** Letters/Rapid Communications/Short communications

# REASONS FOR MANUSCRIPT REJECTION

- Out of standard manuscript format according to author guide
- Inadequate response to reviewers
- Resubmission rejected paper without improvement
- Data Falsification

## Flaws in Study Design

- Poorly formulated research question
- Poor conceptualization of the approach to answering the research question
- Choice of a weak or unreliable method
- Choice of an incorrect method or model that is not suitable for the problem to be studied
- Inappropriate statistical analysis
- Unreliable or incomplete data
- Inappropriate or suboptimal instrumentation
- Small or inappropriately chosen sample

## Poor Writing and Organization

- Introduction that does not establish the background of the problem studied
- It is very important for authors to present a persuasive and rational argument in their papers. An author should be able to convince readers that the research is both sound and important through the writing.
- Inadequate description of methods
- Discussion that only repeats the results but does not interpret them
- Insufficient explanation of the rationale for the study
- Insufficient literature review
- Conclusions that do not appear to be supported by the study data
- Failure to place the study in a broad context

# COMMON ERROR IN MANUSCRIPT

## Format

- **Title affiliation**
- Title, Abstract, Keyword exceed the word limit
- Margin
- Incorrect picture
- Low picture quality
- Citation
- Too old reference
- Copyright picture

## English

- Sentence construction
- Incorrect tenses
- Grammar mistakes

## Technical writing

- Problem statement and the Goal of experiment is not clear
- Jumping conclusion
- Conclusion contains only the repetition of experimental data
- Incorrect/unacceptable analysis
- Datasets too small to be meaningful

# BLACKLISTED

- Multiple submission
- Plagiarism
- Re-submission already published paper



# AUTHORSHIP

Policies regarding authorship can vary.

The Q1 International Committee of Journal Editors declared that an author must:

1. Substantially contribute to conception and design, or acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
2. **Draft** the article or **revise** it critically for important intellectual content; and
3. **Give their approval** of the final full version to be published.
4. Agreement to be **accountable for all aspects of the work** in ensuring that questions related to accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

# AUTHORSHIP – SEQUENCE & ABUSES

General principles for who is listed first:

- First Author
  - Conducts and/or supervises the data generation and analysis and the proper presentation and interpretation of the results
  - Puts paper together and submits the paper to journal
- Corresponding author
  - The first author or a senior author from the institution

## **Abuses to be avoided:**

1. Ghost Authorship
  - > Leaving out authors who should be included.
2. Gift Authorship
  - > Including authors who did not contribute significantly.